

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,891	02/26/2004	John William Eeles	58157-010401	9309	
33717	7590 08/09/2005	08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E			RODRIGUEZ	Z, JOSEPH C	
	NICA, CA 90404	1002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/789,891	EELES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Rodriguez	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and and the same of the same of					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ,					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 <i>February 2004</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/149,006. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	, 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickerson (US 774,966).

Dickerson teaches a screening assembly framework (Fig. 1-10, best shown in fig. 5) which includes

a plurality of support members (19), each of which supports at least one securing element (receiving rail running along top surface of 19) by which a screening module is secured to the support member (Fig. 5), and

Art Unit: 3653

a plurality of spacer members (36 with receiving formation and slot with complementarily formations shown in fig. 5, 8, wherein 36 is transverse to support members 19) arranged intermediate the support members for retaining the support members at a predetermined distance relative to one another,

at least certain of the support members and at least certain of the spacer members being demountably connected to one another to form a demountable underlying structure for an array of screening modules of the screening assembly (p. 2, ln. 40 et seq.). Woodgate also teaches mounting formations (24) for removable mounting to a screen deck on which the screening assembly is to be installed and that screen deck includes a plurality of screen panel modules (i.e., sub-frames) (Fig. 1, 5).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woodgate (US 6,253,926).

Woodgate teaches a screening assembly framework (Fig. 1-3) which includes a plurality of support members (15, 17, 19), each of which supports at least one securing element (receiving rail running along top surface of 15, 17) by which a screening module is secured to the support member (Fig. 3), and

a plurality of spacer members (31 with receiving formation and slot with complementarily formations shown in fig. 1, 3, wherein 31 is transverse to support members 15, 19) arranged intermediate the support members (i.e., support members in adjacent sub frame not shown in fig. 3) for retaining the support members at a predetermined distance relative to one another,

Application/Control Number: 10/789,891

Art Unit: 3653

at least certain of the support members and at least certain of the spacer members being demountably connected to one another to form a demountable underlying structure for an array of screening modules of the screening assembly (Fig. 1). Woodgate also teaches mounting formations (33) for removable mounting to a screen deck on which the screening assembly is to be installed, that the assembly can be made of synthetic plastics (col. 3, In. 25 et seq.), and that screen deck includes a plurality of screen panel modules (i.e., sub-frames) (col. 4, In. 3 – 40).

Here, it is noted that the embodiments taught in figures 4 and 5 are also regarded as anticipating the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Joseph C Rodriguez** whose telephone number is **571- 272-6942** (M-F, 9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-6942.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Art Unit: 3653

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

Alternatively, inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **571-272-6584**. Further, the supervisor's contact information is Donald Walsh, 571-272-6944.

Signed by Examiner Joseph Rodriguez

jcr

August 5, 2005